In Defense of Pragmatic Reason: Responding to the Postmodern Challenge - ISSA 2023, Leiden

University

Robert C. Rowland (The University of Kansas)

The last fifty years have witnessed a renaissance in the study of argumentation. Three great research

traditions have developed: pragma-dialectics, informal logic, and an American emphasis on argumentation

in context. Each of these traditions has produced an enormous quantity of highly valuable theoretical work

and innovative case studies.

At the same time that this renaissance in argumentation research has occurred, core principles of public

reason have been strongly attacked. One challenge comes from postmodern scholars who dispute the

existence of principled standards for distinguishing between strong and weak arguments, in some cases,

denying that that there are any real standards for evaluating truth claims. Another challenge comes from

scholars who have argued that traditional reason functioned as a support for unjust societal structures,

including patriarchy and racism. Others have argued that traditional reason led to the horrors of

totalitarianism or war.

The epistemic and the moral challenges to public reason can be met by recognizing the fundamentally

pragmatic underpinnings of all three traditions. Argument is valuable not because it identifies ultimate

truth, but because it helps people distinguish between claims that are pragmatically useful for solving

problems and those that are not useful. Viewed in this manner, the three approaches to public reason can

be justified based on their pragmatic utility for distinguishing between strong and weak claims, that is

claims that are pragmatically productive and those that are not. Similarly, the theories help humans

distinguish between processes of argument that are useful for problem solving and those that are not.

Moreover, when argumentation theories are justified based on their pragmatic utility, it becomes clear that

they are forces for empowerment, not oppression. There are no good arguments for patriarchy or racism or

discrimination or totalitarianism, but there are many good arguments for constructing an inclusive, humane

and democratic society.

Keywords: public reason, postmodernism, pragmatism, Enlightenment, democracy